arXiv:1601.07094v1 [math.AT] 26 Jan 2016

Coverings and crossed modules of topological groups with operations

Osman Mucuk*[a] and Tunçar Şahan†[b]

[a]Department of Mathematics, Erciyes University, Kayseri, TURKEY
[b]Department of Mathematics, Aksaray University, Aksaray, TURKEY

Abstract

It is a well known result in the covering groups that a subgroup G of the fundamental group at the identity of a semi-locally simply connected topological group determines a covering morphism of topological groups with characteristic group G. In this paper we generalize this result to a large class of algebraic objects called topological groups with operations, including topological groups. We also give the cover of crossed modules within topological groups with operations.

Key Words: Covering group, universal cover, crossed module, group with operations, topological group with operations
Classification: 18D35, 22A05, 57M10

1 Introduction

The theory of covering spaces is one of the most interesting theories in algebraic topology. It is well known that if X is a topological group, $p\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ is a simply connected covering map, $e \in X$ is the identity element and $\tilde{e} \in \widetilde{X}$ is such that $p(\tilde{e}) = e$, then $\widetilde{X}$ becomes a topological group with identity $\tilde{e}$ such that p is a morphism of topological groups (see for example [8]).

The problem of universal covers of non-connected topological groups was first studied in [23]. He proved that a topological group X determines an obstruction class k_X in

*E-mail : mucuk@erciyes.edu.tr
†E-mail : tuncarsahan@aksaray.edu.tr

$H^3(\pi_0(X), \pi_1(X, e))$, and that the vanishing of k_X is a necessary and sufficient condition for the lifting of the group structure to a universal cover. In [17] an analogous algebraic result was given in terms of crossed modules and group-groupoids, i.e., group objects in the category of groupoids (see also [6] for a revised version, which generalizes these results and shows the relation with the theory of obstructions to extension for groups and [16] for the recently developed notion of monodromy for topological group-groupoids).

In [7, Theorem 1] Brown and Spencer proved that the category of internal categories within the groups, i.e., group-groupoids, is equivalent to the category of crossed modules of groups. Then in [21, Section 3], Porter proved that a similar result holds for certain algebraic categories C, introduced by Orzech [19], which definition was adapted by him and called category of groups with operations. Applying Porter's result, the study of internal category theory in C was continued in the works of Datuashvili [10] and [11]. Moreover, she developed cohomology theory of internal categories, equivalently, crossed modules, in categories of groups with operations [9, 12]. In a similar way, the results of [7] and [21] enabled us to prove that some properties of covering groups can be generalized to topological groups with operations.

If X is a connected topological space which has a universal cover, $x_0 \in X$ and G is a subgroup of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ of X at the point x_0, then by [22, Theorem 10.42] we know that there is a covering map $p\colon (\widetilde{X}_G, \tilde{x}_0) \to (X, x_0)$ of pointed spaces, with characteristic group G. In particular if G is singleton, then p becomes the universal covering map. Further if X is a topological group, then $\widetilde{X}_G$ becomes a topological group such that p is a morphism of topological groups. Recently in [2] this method has been applied to topological R-modules and obtained a more general result (see also [3] and [18] for groupoid setting).

The object of this paper is to prove that this result can be generalized to a wide class of algebraic categories, which include categories of topological groups, topological rings, topological R-modules and alternative topological algebras. This is conveniently handled by working in a category TC. The method we use is based on that used by Rothman in [22, Theorem 10.42]. Further we give the cover of crossed modules within topological groups with operations.

2 Preliminaries on groupoids and covering groups

As it is defined in [4, 15] a groupoid G has a set G of morphisms, which we call just *elements* of G, a set G_0 of *objects* together with maps $d_0, d_1\colon G \to G_0$ and $\epsilon\colon G_0 \to G$ such that $d_0\epsilon = d_1\epsilon = 1_{G_0}$. The maps d_0, d_1 are called *initial* and *final* point maps respectively and the

map ϵ is called *object inclusion*. If $a, b \in G$ and $d_1(a) = d_0(b)$, then the *composite* $a \circ b$ exists such that $d_0(a \circ b) = d_0(a)$ and $d_1(a \circ b) = d_1(b)$. So there exists a partial composition defined by $G_{d_1} \times_{d_0} G \to G, (a, b) \mapsto a \circ b$, where $G_{d_1} \times_{d_0} G$ is the pullback of d_1 and d_0. Further, this partial composition is associative, for $x \in G_0$ the element $\epsilon(x)$ acts as the identity, and each element a has an inverse a^{-1} such that $d_0(a^{-1}) = d_1(a)$, $d_1(a^{-1}) = d_0(a)$, $a \circ a^{-1} = \epsilon d_0(a)$ and $a^{-1} \circ a = \epsilon d_1(a)$. The map $G \to G$, $a \mapsto a^{-1}$ is called the *inversion*.

In a groupoid G for $x, y \in G_0$ we write $G(x, y)$ for the set of all morphisms with initial point x and final point y. According to [4] for $x \in G_0$ the *star* of x is defined as $\{a \in G \mid d_0(a) = x\}$ and denoted as $\mathsf{St}_G x$.

Let G and H be groupoids. A *morphism* from H to G is a pair of maps $f\colon H \to G$ and $f_0\colon H_0 \to G_0$ such that $d_0 f = f_0 d_0$, $d_1 f = f_0 d_1$, $f\epsilon = \epsilon f_0$ and $f(a \circ b) = f(a) \circ f(b)$ for all $(a, b) \in H_{d_1} \times_{d_0} H$. For such a morphism we simply write $f\colon H \to G$.

Let $p\colon \widetilde{G} \to G$ be a morphism of groupoids. Then p is called a *covering morphism* and $\widetilde{G}$ a *covering groupoid* of G if for each $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{G}_0$ the restriction $\mathsf{St}_{\widetilde{G}} \tilde{x} \to \mathsf{St}_G p(\tilde{x})$ is bijective.

We assume the usual theory of covering maps. All spaces X are assumed to be locally path connected and semi-locally 1-connected, so that each path component of X admits a simply connected cover. Recall that a covering map $p\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ of connected spaces is called *universal* if it covers every covering of X in the sense that if $q\colon \widetilde{Y} \to X$ is another covering of X then there exists a map $r\colon \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{Y}$ such that $p = qr$ (hence r becomes a covering). A covering map $p\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ is called *simply connected* if $\widetilde{X}$ is simply connected. Note that a simply connected covering is a universal covering.

A subset U of a space X, which has a universal cover, is called *liftable* if it is open, path connected and lifts to each covering of X, that is, if $p\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ is a covering map, $\imath\colon U \to X$ is the inclusion map and $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{X}$ such that $p(\tilde{x}) = x \in U$, then there exists a map (necessarily unique) $\hat{\imath}\colon U \to \widetilde{X}$ such that $p\hat{\imath} = \imath$ and $\hat{\imath}(x) = \tilde{x}$. It is an easy application that U is liftable if and only if it is open, path connected and for all $x \in U$, the fundamental group $\pi_1(U, x)$ is mapped to the singleton by the morphism $\imath_\star\colon \pi_1(U, x) \to \pi_1(X, x)$ induced by the inclusion $\imath\colon (U, x) \to (X, x)$.

A space X is called *semi-locally simply connected* if each point has a liftable neighborhood and *locally simply connected* if it has a base of simply connected sets. So a locally simply connected space is also semi-locally simply connected.

For a covering map $p\colon (\widetilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0) \to (X, x_0)$ of pointed topological spaces, the subgroup $p_\star(\pi_1(\widetilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0))$ of $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ is called *characteristic group* of p, where $p_\star$ is the morphism induced by p (see [4, p.379] for the characteristic group of a covering map in terms of covering morphism of groupoids). Two covering maps $p\colon (\widetilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0) \to (X, x_0)$ and $q\colon (\widetilde{Y}, \tilde{y}_0) \to (X, x_0)$ are called *equivalent* if their characteristic groups are isomorphic, equivalently there is a homeo-

morphism $f\colon (\widetilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0) \to (\widetilde{Y}, \tilde{y}_0)$ such that $qf = p$.

We recall a construction from [22, p.295] as follows: Let X be a topological space with a base point x_0 and G a subgroup of $\pi_1(X, x_0)$. Let $P(X, x_0)$ be the set of all paths of α in X with initial point x_0. Then the relation defined on $P(X, x_0)$ by $\alpha \simeq \beta$ if and only if $\alpha(1) = \beta(1)$ and $[\alpha \circ \beta^{-1}] \in G$, is an equivalence relation. Denote the equivalence relation of α by $\langle\alpha\rangle_G$ and define $\widetilde{X}_G$ as the set of all such equivalence classes of the paths in X with initial point x_0. Define a function $p\colon \widetilde{X}_G \to X$ by $p(\langle\alpha\rangle_G) = \alpha(1)$. Let α_0 be the constant path at x_0 and $\tilde{x}_0 = \langle\alpha_0\rangle_G \in \widetilde{X}_G$. If $\alpha \in P(X, x_0)$ and U is an open neighbourhood of $\alpha(1)$, then a path of the form $\alpha \circ \lambda$, where λ is a path in U with $\lambda(0) = \alpha(1)$, is called a *continuation* of α. For an $\langle\alpha\rangle_G \in \widetilde{X}_G$ and an open neighbourhood U of $\alpha(1)$, let $(\langle\alpha\rangle_G, U) = \{\langle\alpha \circ \lambda\rangle_G\colon\ \lambda(I) \subseteq U\}$. Then the subsets $(\langle\alpha\rangle_G, U)$ form a basis for a topology on $\widetilde{X}_G$ such that the map $p\colon (\widetilde{X}_G, \tilde{x}_0) \to (X, x_0)$ is continuous.

In Theorem 3.7 we generalize the following result to topological groups with operations.

Theorem 2.1. [22, Theorem 10.34] *Let (X, x_0) be a pointed topological space and G a subgroup of $\pi_1(X, x_0)$. If X is connected, locally path connected and semi-locally simply connected, then $p\colon (\widetilde{X}_G, \tilde{x}_0) \to (X, x_0)$ is a covering map with characteristic group G .*

Remark 2.2. Let X be a connected, locally path connected and semi locally simply connected topological space and $q\colon (\widetilde{X}, \tilde{x}_0) \to (X, x_0)$ a covering map. Let G be the characteristic group of q. Then the covering map q is equivalent to the covering map $p\colon (\widetilde{X}_G, \tilde{x}_0) \to (X, x_0)$ corresponding to G.

So from Theorem 2.1 the following result is obtained.

Theorem 2.3. [22, Theorem 10.42] *Suppose that X is a connected, locally path connected and semi-locally simply connected topological group. Let $e \in X$ be the identity element and $p\colon (\widetilde{X}, \tilde{e}) \to (X, e)$ a covering map. Then the group structure of X lifts to $\widetilde{X}$, i.e., $\widetilde{X}$ becomes a topological group such that $\tilde{e}$ is identity and $p\colon (\widetilde{X}, \tilde{e}) \to (X, e)$ is a morphism of topological groups.*

3 Universal covers of topological groups with operations

In this section we apply the methods of Section 2 to the topological groups with operations and obtain parallel results.

The idea of the definition of categories of groups with operations comes from [14] and [19] (see also [20]) and the definition below is from [21] and [13, p.21], which is adapted from [19].

Definition 3.1. Let C be a category of groups with a set of operations Ω and with a set E of identities such that E includes the group laws, and the following conditions hold for the set Ω_i of i-ary operations in Ω:

(a) $\Omega = \Omega_0 \cup \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$;

(b) The group operations written additively $0, -$ and $+$ are the elements of Ω_0, Ω_1 and Ω_2 respectively. Let $\Omega_2' = \Omega_2 \backslash \{+\}$, $\Omega_1' = \Omega_1 \backslash \{-\}$ and assume that if $\star \in \Omega_2'$, then $\star^\circ$ defined by $a \star^\circ b = b \star a$ is also in Ω_2'. Also assume that $\Omega_0 = \{0\}$;

(c) For each $\star \in \Omega_2'$, E includes the identity $a \star (b + c) = a \star b + a \star c$;

(d) For each $\omega \in \Omega_1'$ and $\star \in \Omega_2'$, E includes the identities $\omega(a + b) = \omega(a) + \omega(b)$ and $\omega(a) \star b = \omega(a \star b)$.

The category C satisfying the conditions (a)-(d) is called a *category of groups with operations.*

In the paper from now on C will denote the category of groups with operations.

A *morphism* between any two objects of C is a group homomorphism, which preserves the operations of Ω_1' and Ω_2'.

Remark 3.2. The set Ω_0 contains exactly one element, the group identity; hence for instance the category of associative rings with unit is not a category of groups with operations.

Example 3.3. The categories of groups, rings generally without identity, R-modules, associative, associative commutative, Lie, Leibniz, alternative algebras are examples of categories of groups with operations. □

Remark 3.4. The set Ω_0 contains exactly one element, the group identity; hence for instance associative rings with unit are not groups with operations

The category of topological groups with operations is defined in [1] as follows:

Definition 3.5. A category TC of topological groups with a set Ω of continuous operations and with a set E of identities such that E includes the group laws such that the conditions (a)-(d) in Definition 3.1 are satisfied, is called a *category of topological groups with operations* and the object of TC are called *topological groups with operations.*

In the rest of the paper TC will denote a category of topological groups with operations.

A *morphism* between any two objects of TC is a continuous group homomorphism, which preserves the operations in Ω_1' and Ω_2'.

The categories of topological groups, topological rings, topological R-modules and alternative topological algebras are examples of categories of topological groups with operations.

Proposition 3.6. *If X is a topological group with operations, then the fundamental group $\pi_1(X, 0)$ becomes a group with operations.*

Proof: Let X be an object of TC and $P(X,0)$ the set of all paths in X with initial point 0 as described in Section 1. There are binary operations on $P(X,0)$ defined by

$$(\alpha \star \beta)(t) = \alpha(t) \star \beta(t) \tag{1}$$

for $\star \in \Omega_2$ and $t \in \mathsf{I}$, unit interval, and unary operations defined by

$$(\omega\alpha)(t) = \omega(\alpha(t)) \tag{2}$$

for $\omega \in \Omega_1$. Hence the operations (1) induce binary operations on $\pi_1(X,0)$ defined by

$$[\alpha] \star [\beta] = [\alpha \star \beta] \tag{3}$$

for $[\alpha], [\beta] \in \pi_1(X,0)$. Since the binary operations $\star$ in Ω_2 are continuous it follows that the binary operations (3) are well defined. Similarly the operations (2) reduce the unary operations defined by

$$\omega[\alpha] = [\omega\alpha]. \tag{4}$$

By the continuity of the unary operations $\omega \in \Omega_1$, the operations (4) are also well defined. The other details can be checked and so $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ becomes a group with operations, i.e., an object of C. □

We now generalize Theorem 2.1 to topological groups with operations. We first make the following preparation:

Let X be a topological group with operations. By the evaluation of the compositions and operations of the paths in X such that $\alpha_1(1) = \beta_1(0)$ and $\alpha_2(1) = \beta_2(0)$ at $t \in \mathsf{I}$, we have the following *interchange law*

$$(\alpha_1 \circ \beta_1) \star (\alpha_2 \circ \beta_2) = (\alpha_1 \star \alpha_2) \circ (\beta_1 \star \beta_2) \tag{5}$$

for $\star \in \Omega_2$, where $\circ$ denotes the composition of paths, and

$$(\alpha \star \beta)^{-1} = \alpha^{-1} \star \beta^{-1} \tag{6}$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in P(X,0)$ where, say α^{-1} is the inverse path defined by $\alpha^{-1}(t) = \alpha(1-t)$ for $t \in I$. Further we have that

$$(\omega\alpha)^{-1} = \omega\alpha^{-1} \tag{7}$$

$$\omega(\alpha \circ \beta) = (\omega\alpha) \circ (\omega\beta) \tag{8}$$

when $\alpha(1) = \beta(0)$.

Parallel to Theorem 2.1, in the following theorem we prove a general result for topological groups with operations.

Theorem 3.7. *Let X be a topological group with operations, i.e., an object of* TC *and let G be the subobject of $\pi_1(X, 0)$. Suppose that the underlying space of X is connected, locally path connected and semi-locally simply connected. Let $p\colon (\widetilde{X}_G, \tilde{0}) \to (X, 0)$ be the covering map corresponding to G as a subgroup of the additive group of $\pi_1(X, 0)$ by Theorem 2.1. Then the group operations of X lift to $\widetilde{X}_G$, i.e., $\widetilde{X}_G$ is a topological group with operations and $p\colon \widetilde{X}_G \to X$ is a morphism of* TC.

Proof: By the construction of $\widetilde{X}_G$ in Section 1, $\widetilde{X}_G$ is the set of equivalence classes defined via G. The binary operations on $P(X, 0)$ defined by (1) induce binary operations

$$\langle\alpha\rangle_G \star \langle\beta\rangle_G = \langle\alpha \star \beta\rangle_G \tag{9}$$

and the unary operations on $P(X, x_0)$ defined by (2) induce unary operations

$$\omega\langle\alpha\rangle_G = \langle\omega\alpha\rangle_G \tag{10}$$

on $\widetilde{X}_G$.

We now prove that these operations (9) and (10) are well defined: For $\star \in \Omega_2$ and the paths $\alpha, \beta, \alpha_1, \beta_1 \in P(X, 0)$ with $\alpha(1) = \alpha_1(1)$ and $\beta(1) = \beta_1(1)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
[(\alpha \star \beta) \circ (\alpha_1 \star \beta_1)^{-1}] &= [(\alpha \star \beta) \circ (\alpha_1^{-1} \star \beta_1^{-1})] && \text{(by 6)}\\
&= [(\alpha \circ \alpha_1^{-1}) \star (\beta \circ \beta_1^{-1})] && \text{(by 5)}\\
&= [\alpha \circ \alpha_1^{-1}] \star [\beta \circ \beta_1^{-1}] && \text{(by 3)}
\end{aligned}$$

So if $\alpha_1 \in \langle\alpha\rangle_G$ and $\beta_1 \in \langle\beta\rangle_G$, then $[\alpha \circ \alpha_1^{-1}] \in G$ and $[\beta \circ \beta_1^{-1}] \in G$. Since G is a subobject of $\pi_1(X, 0)$, we have that $[\alpha \circ \alpha_1^{-1}] \star [\beta \circ \beta_1^{-1}] \in G$. Therefore the binary operations (9) are well defined.

Similarly for the paths $\alpha, \alpha_1 \in P(X, 0)$ with $\alpha(1) = \alpha_1(1)$ and $\omega \in \Omega_1$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
[(\omega\alpha) \circ (\omega\alpha_1)^{-1})] &= [(\omega\alpha) \circ (\omega\alpha_1^{-1})] && \text{(by 7)}\\
&= [(\omega(\alpha \circ \alpha_1^{-1})] && \text{(by 8)}\\
&= \omega[\alpha \circ \alpha_1^{-1}] && \text{(by 4)}
\end{aligned}$$

Since G is a subobject of $\pi_1(X,0)$, if $[\alpha \circ \alpha_1^{-1}] \in G$ and $\omega \in \Omega_1$ then $\omega[\alpha \circ \alpha_1^{-1}] \in G$. Hence the unary operations (10) are also well defined.

The axioms (a)-(d) of Definition 3.1 for $\widetilde{X}_G$ are satisfied and therefore $\widetilde{X}_G$ becomes a group with operations. Further by Theorem 2.1, $p\colon (\widetilde{X}_G, \tilde{0}) \to (X,0)$ is a covering map, $\widetilde{X}_G$ is a topological group and p is a morphism of topological groups. In addition to this we need to prove that $\widetilde{X}_G$ is an object of TC and p is a morphism of TC. To prove that the operations (9) for $\star \in \Omega_2'$ are continuous let $\langle\alpha\rangle_G, \langle\beta\rangle_G \in \widetilde{X}_G$ and $(W, \langle\alpha\star\beta\rangle_G)$ be a basic open neighbourhood of $\langle\alpha \star \beta\rangle_G$. Here W is an open neighbourhood of $(\alpha \star \beta)(1) = \alpha(1) \star \beta(1)$. Since the operations $\star\colon X \times X \to X$ are continuous there are open neighbourhoods U and V of $\alpha(1)$ and $\beta(1)$ respectively in X such that $U \star V \subseteq W$. Therefore $(U, \langle\alpha\rangle_G)$ and $(V, \langle\beta\rangle_G)$ are respectively base open neighbourhoods of $\langle\alpha\rangle_G$ and $\langle\beta\rangle_G$, and

$$(U, \langle\alpha\rangle_G) \star (V, \langle\beta\rangle_G) \subseteq (W, \langle\alpha \star \beta\rangle_G).$$

Therefore the binary operations (9) are continuous

We now prove that the unary operations (10) for $\omega \in \Omega_1'$ are continuous. For if $(V, \langle\omega\alpha\rangle)$ is a base open neighbourhood of $\langle\omega\alpha\rangle$, then V is an open neighbourhood of $\omega\alpha(1)$ and since the unary operations $\omega\colon X \to X$ are continuous there is an open neighbourhood U of $\alpha(1)$ such that $\omega(U) \subseteq V$. Therefore $(U, \langle\alpha\rangle)$ is an open neighbourhood of $\langle\alpha\rangle$ and $\omega(U, \langle\alpha\rangle) \subseteq (V, \langle\omega\alpha\rangle)$.

Moreover the map $p\colon \widetilde{X}_G \to X$ defined by $p(\langle\alpha\rangle_G) = \alpha(1)$ preserves the operations of Ω_2 and Ω_1. □

From Theorem 3.7 the following result can be restated.

Theorem 3.8. *Suppose that X is a topological group with operations whose underlying space is connected, locally path connected and semi-locally simply connected. Let $p\colon (\widetilde{X}, \tilde{0}) \to (X,0)$ be a covering map such that $\widetilde{X}$ is path connected and the characteristic group G of p is a subobject of $\pi_1(X,0)$. Then the group operations of X lifts to $\widetilde{X}$.*

Proof: By assumption the characteristic group G of the covering map $p\colon (\widetilde{X}, \tilde{0}) \to (X,0)$ is a subobject of $\pi_1(X,0)$. So by Remark 2.2, we can assume that $\widetilde{X} = \widetilde{X}_G$ and hence by Theorem 3.7, the group operations of X lift to $\widetilde{X}$ as required. □

In particular, in Theorem 3.7 if the subobject G of $\pi_1(X,0)$ is chosen to be the singleton, then the following corollary is obtained.

Corollary 3.9. *Let X be a topological group with operations such that the underlying space of X is connected, locally path connected and semi-locally simply connected. Let $p\colon (\widetilde{X}, \tilde{0}) \to (X,0)$ be a universal covering map. Then the group structures of X lifts to $\widetilde{X}$.*

The following proposition is useful for Theorem 3.12.

Proposition 3.10. *Let X be a topological group with operations and V a liftable neighbourhood of 0 in X. Then there is a liftable neighbourhood U of 0 in X such that $U \star U \subseteq V$ for $\star \in \Omega_2$.*

Proof: Since X is a topological group with operations and hence the binary operations $\star \in \Omega_2$ are continuous, there is an open neighbourhood U of 0 in X such that $U \star U \subseteq V$. Further if V is liftable, then U can be chosen as liftable. For if V is liftable, then for each $x \in U$, the fundamental group $\pi_1(U, x)$ is mapped to the singleton by the morphism induced by the inclusion map $\imath\colon U \to X$. Here U is not necessarily path connected and hence not necessarily liftable. But since the path component $C_0(U)$ of 0 in U is liftable and satisfies these conditions, U can be replaced by the the path component $C_0(U)$ of 0 in U and assumed that U is liftable. □

Definition 3.11. Let X and Y be topological groups with operations and U an open neighbourhood of 0 in X. A continuous map $\phi\colon U \to S$ is called a *local morphism* in TC if $\phi(a \star b) = \phi(a) \star \phi(b)$ when $a, b \in U$ such that $a \star b \in U$ for $\star \in \Omega_2$.

Theorem 3.12. *Let X and $\widetilde{X}$ be topological groups with operations and $q\colon \widetilde{X} \to X$ a morphism of TC, which is a covering map. Let U be an open, path connected neighbourhood of 0 in X such that for each $\star \in \Omega_2$, the set $U \star U$ is contained in a liftable neighbourhood V of 0 in X. Then the inclusion map $i\colon U \to X$ lifts to a local morphism $\hat{\imath}\colon U \to \widetilde{X}$ in TC.*

Proof: Since V lifts to $\widetilde{X}$, then U lifts to $\widetilde{X}$ by $\hat{\imath}\colon U \to \widetilde{X}$. We now prove that $\hat{\imath}$ is a local morphism of topological groups with operations. We know by the lifting theorem that $\hat{\imath}\colon U \to \widetilde{X}$ is continuous. Let $a, b \in U$ be such that for each $\star \in \Omega_2$, $a \star b \in U$. Let α and β be the paths from 0 to a and b respectively in U. Let $\gamma = \alpha \star \beta$. So γ is a path from 0 to $a \star b$. Since $U \star U \subseteq V$, the paths γ is in V. So the paths α, β and γ lift to $\widetilde{X}$. Suppose that $\tilde{\alpha}$, $\tilde{\beta}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ are the liftings of α, β and γ in $\widetilde{X}$ respectively. Then we have

$$q(\widetilde{\gamma}) = \gamma = \alpha \star \beta = q(\widetilde{\alpha}) \star q(\widetilde{\beta}).$$

But q is a morphism of topological group with operations and so we have,

$$q(\widetilde{\alpha} \star \widetilde{\beta}) = q(\widetilde{\alpha}) \star q(\widetilde{\beta})$$

for $\star \in \Omega_2$. Since the paths $\tilde{\gamma}$ and $\tilde{\alpha} \star \tilde{\beta}$ have the initial point $\tilde{0} \in \widetilde{X}$, by the unique path lifting

$$\widetilde{\gamma} = \widetilde{\alpha} \star \tilde{\beta}$$

On evaluating these paths at $1 \in \mathsf{I}$ we have

$$\hat{\imath}(a \star b) = \hat{\imath}(a) \star \hat{\imath}(b).$$

□

4 Covers of crossed modules within topological groups with operations

If A and B are objects of C, an *extension* of A by B is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\imath} E \xrightarrow{p} B \longrightarrow 0 \tag{11}$$

in which p is surjective and $\imath$ is the kernel of p. It is *split* if there is a morphism $s\colon B \to E$ such that $ps = \imath d_B$. A split extension of B by A is called a *B-structure* on A. Given such a B-structure on A we get actions of B on A corresponding to the operations in C. For any $b \in B$, $a \in A$ and $\star \in \Omega'_2$ we have the actions called *derived actions* by Orzech [19, p.293]

$$\begin{aligned} b \cdot a &= s(b) + a - s(b) \\ b \star a &= s(b) \star a. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

In addition to this we note that topologically if an exact sequence (11) in TC is an split extension, then the derived actions (12) are continuous. So we can state Theorem [19, Theorem 2.4] in topological case, which is useful for the proof of Theorem 4.6, as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *A set of actions (one for each operation in Ω_2) is a set of continuous derived actions if and only if the semidirect product $B \ltimes A$ with underlying set $B \times A$ and operations*

$$\begin{aligned} (b, a) + (b', a') &= (b + b', a + (b \cdot a')) \\ (b, a) \star (b', a') &= (b \star b', a \star a' + b \star a' + a \star b') \end{aligned}$$

is an object in TC.

The internal category in C is defined in [21] as follows. We follow the notations of Section 1 for groupoids.

Definition 4.2. An internal category C in C is a category in which the initial and final point maps $d_0, d_1\colon C \rightrightarrows C_0$, the object inclusion map $\epsilon\colon C_0 \to C$ and the partial composition $\circ\colon C_{d_1} \times_{d_0} C \to C, (a, b) \mapsto a \circ b$ are the morphisms in the category C. □

Note that since ϵ is a morphism in C, $\epsilon(0) = 0$ and that the operation $\circ$ being a morphism

implies that for all $a, b, c, d \in C$ and $\star \in \Omega_2$,

$$(a \star b) \circ (c \star d) = (a \circ c) \star (b \circ d) \tag{13}$$

whenever one side makes sense. This is called the *interchange law* [21] .

We also note from [21] that any internal category in C is an internal groupoid since given $a \in C$, $a^{-1} = \epsilon d_1(a) - a + \epsilon d_0(a)$ satisfies $a^{-1} \circ a = \epsilon d_1(a)$ and $a \circ a^{-1} = \epsilon d_0(a)$. So we use the term *internal groupoid* rather than internal category and write G for an internal groupoid. For the category of internal groupoids in C we use the same notation $\mathsf{Cat}(\mathsf{C})$ as in [21]. Here a *morphism* $f\colon H \to G$ in $\mathsf{Cat}(\mathsf{C})$ is morphism of underlying groupoids and a morphism in C.

In particular if C is the category of groups, then an internal groupoid G in C becomes a group-groupoid and in the case where C is the category of rings, an internal groupoid in C is a ring object in the category of groupoids [18].

Definition 4.3. An internal groupoid in the category TC of topological groups with operations is called a *topological internal groupoid*.

So a topological internal groupoid is a topological groupoid G in which the set of morphisms and the set G_0 of objects are objects of TC and all structural maps of G, i.e, the source and target maps $s, t\colon G \to G_0$, the object inclusion map $\epsilon\colon G_0 \to G$ and the composition map $\circ\colon G_t \times_s G \to G$, are morphisms of TC.

If TC is the category of topological groups, then an internal topological groupoid becomes a topological group-groupoid.

For the category of internal topological groupoids in TC we use the notation $\mathsf{Cat}(\mathsf{TC})$. Here a *morphism* $f\colon H \to G$ in $\mathsf{Cat}(\mathsf{TC})$ is morphism of underlying groupoids and a morphism in TC.

Theorem 4.4. *Let X be an object of TC such that the underlying space is locally path connected and semi-locally simply connected. Then the fundamental groupoid πX is a topological internal groupoid.*

Proof: Let X be a topological group with operations as assumed. By [5, Theorem 1], πX has a topology such that it is a topological groupoid. We know by [5, Proposition 3] that when X and Y are endowed with such topologies, for a continuous map $f\colon X \to Y$, the induced morphism $\pi(f)\colon \pi X \to \pi Y$ is also continuous. Hence the continuous binary operations $\star\colon X \times X \to X$ for $\star \in \Omega_2$ and the unary operations $\omega\colon X \to X$ for $\omega \in \Omega_1$ respectively induce continuous binary operations $\widetilde{\star}\colon \pi X \times \pi X \to \pi X$ and unary operations $\widetilde{\omega}\colon \pi X \to \pi X$. So the set of morphisms becomes a topological group with operations. The groupoid structural maps are morphisms of groups with operations, i.e., preserve the operations. Therefore πX becomes a topological internal groupoid. □

As similar to the crossed module in C formulated in [21, Proposition 2], we define a crossed module in TC as follows:

Definition 4.5. A *crossed module* in TC is a morphism $\alpha\colon A \to B$ in TC, where B acts topologically on A (i.e. we have a continuous derived action in TC) with the conditions for any $b \in B$, $a, a' \in A$, and $\star \in \Omega'_2$:

CM1 $\alpha(b \cdot a) = b + \alpha(a) - b$;

CM2 $\alpha(a) \cdot a' = a + a' - a$;

CM3 $\alpha(a) \star a' = a \star a'$;

CM4 $\alpha(b \star a) = b \star \alpha(a)$ and $\alpha(a \star b) = \alpha(a) \star b$.

□

A *morphism* from $\alpha\colon A \to B$ to $\alpha'\colon A' \to B'$ is a pair $f_1\colon A \to A'$ and $f_2\colon B \to B'$ of the morphisms in TC such that

1. $f_2\alpha(a) = \alpha' f_1(a)$,
2. $f_1(b \cdot a) = f_2(b) \cdot f_1(a)$,
3. $f_1(b \star a) = f_2(b) \star f_1(a)$

for any $x \in B$, $a \in A$ and $\star \in \Omega'_2$. So we have a category $\mathsf{XMod}(\mathsf{TC})$ of crossed modules in TC.

The algebraic case of the following theorem was proved in C in [21, Theorem 1]. We can state the topological version as follows.

Theorem 4.6. *The category* $\mathsf{XMod}(\mathsf{TC})$ *of crossed modules in* TC *and the category* $\mathsf{Cat}(\mathsf{TC})$ *of internal groupoids in* TC *are equivalent.*

Proof: We give a sketch proof based on that of algebraic case. A functor $\delta\colon \mathsf{Cat}(\mathsf{TC}) \to \mathsf{XMod}(\mathsf{TC})$ is defined as follows: For a topological internal groupoid G, let $\delta(G)$ be the topological crossed module (A, B, d_1) in TC, where $A = \mathsf{Ker}d_0$, $B = G_0$ and $d_1\colon A \to B$ is the restriction of the target point map. Here A and B inherit the structures of topological group with operations from that of G, and the target point map $d_1\colon A \to B$ is a morphism in TC. Further the actions $B \times A \to A$ on the topological group with operations A given by

$$\begin{aligned} b \cdot a &= \epsilon(b) + a - \epsilon(b) \\ b \star a &= \epsilon(b) \star a \end{aligned}$$

for $a \in A$, $b \in B$ are continuous by the continuities of ϵ and the operations in Ω_2; and the axioms of Definition 4.5 are satisfied. Thus (A, B, d_1) becomes a crossed module in TC.

Conversely define a functor $\eta\colon \mathsf{XMod}(\mathsf{TC}) \to \mathsf{Cat}(\mathsf{TC})$ in the following way. For a crossed module (A, B, α) in TC, define a topological internal groupoid $\eta(A, B, \alpha)$ whose set of objects is the topological group with operations B and set of morphisms is the semi-direct product $B \ltimes A$ which is a topological group with operations by Theorem 4.1. The source and target point maps are defined to be $d_0(b, a) = b$ and $d_1(b, a) = \alpha(a) + b$ while the object inclusion map and groupoid composition is given by $\epsilon(b) = (b, 0)$ and

$$(b, a) \circ (b_1, a_1) = (b, a_1 + a)$$

whenever $b_1 = \alpha(a)b$. These structural maps are all continuous and therefore $\eta(A, B, \alpha)$ is a topological internal groupoids.

The other details of the proof is obtained from that of [21, Theorem 1].

□

By Theorem 4.6, we obtain the cover of a crossed module in TC. If $f\colon H \to G$ is a covering morphism in $\mathsf{Cat}(\mathsf{TC})$ and (f_1, f_2) is the morphism of crossed modules corresponding to f, then $f_1\colon A \to A'$ is an isomorphism in TC, where $A = \mathsf{St}_H 0$, $A' = \mathsf{St}_G 0$ and f_1 is the restriction of f. Therefore we call a morphism (f_1, f_2) of crossed modules form $\alpha\colon A \to B$ to $\alpha'\colon A' \to B'$ in TC as *cover* if $f_1\colon A \to A'$ is an isomorphism in TC.

Let G be a topological internal groupoid, i.e., an object of $\mathsf{Cat}(\mathsf{TC})$. Let $\mathsf{Cov}_{\mathsf{Cat}(\mathsf{TC})}/G$ be the category of covers of G in the category $\mathsf{Cat}(\mathsf{TC})$. So the objects of $\mathsf{Cov}_{\mathsf{Cat}(\mathsf{TC})}/G$ are the covering morphisms $p\colon \widetilde{G} \to G$ over G in $\mathsf{Cat}(\mathsf{TC})$ and a morphism from $p\colon \widetilde{G} \to G$ to $q\colon \widetilde{H} \to G$ is a morphism $f\colon \widetilde{G} \to \widetilde{H}$ in $\mathsf{Cat}(\mathsf{TC})$ such that $qf = p$.

The algebraic case of the following theorem was proved in [1, Theorem 5.3]. We give the topological case of this theorem in TC as follows. The proof is obtained by Theorem 4.6 and Theorem [1, Theorem 5.3].

Theorem 4.7. *Let G be an object of $\mathsf{Cat}(\mathsf{TC})$ and $\alpha\colon A \to B$ the crossed module in TC corresponding to G by Theorem 4.6. Let $\mathsf{Cov}_{\mathsf{XMod}(\mathsf{TC})}/(\alpha\colon A \to B)$ be the category of covers of $\alpha\colon A \to B$ in TC. Then the categories $\mathsf{Cov}_{\mathsf{Cat}(\mathsf{TC})}/G$ and $\mathsf{Cov}_{\mathsf{XMod}(\mathsf{TC})}/(\alpha\colon A \to B)$ are equivalent.*

References

[1] H. F. Akız, N. Alemdar, O. Mucuk and T. Şahan, Coverings of internal groupoids and crossed modules in the category of groups with operations, *Georgian Math. J.*, **20** (2013) 223-238.

[2] N. Alemdar, O. Mucuk, Existence of covering topological R-modules, *Filomat*, **27**: 6 (2013) 1121-1126

[3] N. Alemdar, O. Mucuk, The liftings of R-modules to covering groupoids, *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* **41** (**6**) (2012) 813–822.

[4] R. Brown, *Topology and Groupoids*, BookSurge, LLC, Charleston, SC, 2006.

[5] R. Brown and G. Danesh-Naruie, The fundamental groupoid as a topological groupoid, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **19** (2), (1975) 237-244.

[6] R. Brown and O. Mucuk, Covering groups of non-connected topological groups revisited, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phill. Soc.* **115** (1994) 97-110.

[7] R. Brown and C. B. Spencer, *G-groupoids, crossed modules and the fundamental groupoid of a topological group*, Proc. Konn. Ned. Akad. v. Wet. **79** (1976) 296-302.

[8] C. Chevalley, Theory of Lie groups, Princeton University Press, 1946.

[9] T. Datuashvili, *Cohomology of internal categories in categories of groups with operations, Categorical Topology and its Relation to Analysis, algebra and combinatorics*, Ed. J. Adamek and S. Mac Lane (Prague, 1988), World Sci. Publishing, Teaneck, NJ, 1989.

[10] T. Datuashvili, *Whitehead homotopy equivalence and internal category equivalence of crossed modules in categories of groups with operations*, Proc. A. Razmadze Math.Inst. **113** (1995), 3-30.

[11] T. Datuashvili, *Kan extensions of internal functors. Nonconnected case*, J.Pure Appl.Algebra **167** (2002), 195-202.

[12] T. Datuashvili, *Cohomologically trivial internal categories in categories of groups with operations*, Appl. Categ. Structures 3 (1995), 221-237.

[13] T. Datuashvili, *Categorical, homological and homotopical properties of algebraic objects.* Dissertation, Georgian Academy of Science, Tbilisi, 2006.

[14] P.J. Higgins, *Groups with multiple operators*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 6 (1956) 366-416.

[15] Mackenzie, K.C.H., *Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids in differential geometry*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 124, Cambridge University Press, 1987.

[16] O. Mucuk, B. Kılıçarslan, T. Şahan and N. Alemdar , *Group-groupoid and monodromy groupoid*, Topology and its Applications 158 (2011) 2034-2042.

[17] O. Mucuk, *Covering groups of non-connected topological groups and the monodromy groupoid of a topological groupoid*, PhD Thesis, University of Wales, 1993.

[18] O. Mucuk, Coverings and ring-groupoids, *Georgian Math. J.* **5** (1998), no. 5, 475–482.

[19] G. Orzech, Obstruction theory in algebraic categories. I, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **2** (1972), 287–314.

[20] G. Orzech, Obstruction theory in algebraic categories, II, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **2** (1972), 315–340.

[21] T. Porter, Extensions, crossed modules and internal categories in categories of groups with operations, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.*, **30** (1987) 373-381.

[22] J. J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Algebraic Topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics; 119, Springer-Verlag, Newyork, 1988.

[23] R.L. Taylor, Covering groups of non-connected topological groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **5** (1954) 753-768.